Mitchell called the meeting to order at 3:01 p.m.

Approval of the October 5, 2005 Minutes
Hallbeck moved to approve the October 5, 2005 minutes. The motion was seconded by Keown and unanimously approved.

Approval of the October 12, 2005 Minutes
Hallbeck moved to approve the October 12, 2005 minutes. The motion was seconded by Keown and unanimously approved.

Approval of the October 19, 2005 Minutes
Keown moved to approve the October 19, 2005 minutes. The motion was seconded by Oliva. Hallbeck asked for clarification on ISDS. The APC Committee agreed that it should be changed to ISES. The motion was unanimously approved with the correction of ISES.

Women’s Study Program Name Change/DiBernard and Holz
Rose Holz and Barbara DiBernard discussed the Women’s Studies Program name change. DiBernard stated that the name change has been under consideration for three years. The faculty of Women’s Studies voted thirty-four to one to change the name to Women’s and Gender Studies. DiBernard noted that this was a long process and the committee did not want to lose the history of the “Women’s” in the Program. Holz stated that the title change better reflects the courses currently offered in the curriculum. (Kettler arrives). Mitchell asked about the redundancy of having women and gender together in the title. DiBernard reported that many schools use the same title. Holz stated that the students are excited to have this new title because it looks better on the transcript. Women’s and Gender Studies will both be on the diploma.
(Eckhardt arrives). Waller moved to accept the name change of the Women’s Studies Program to Women’s and Gender Studies. The motion was seconded by Keown and unanimously approved.

**APC Strategic Planning Response/ Eckhardt**
Eckhardt briefed the APC on the strategic planning response developed by the five-member Long-Range Planning Subcommittee. Eckhardt discussed a “University College” model that would allow departments to collaborate and make connections. The course goals would be for students to see the interconnections, think critically, and learn how to ask and analyze a question. The APC discussed various details and ideas to the model. It was noted that administrative barriers must be minimal. Governing would be through faculty peer review. The APC was encouraged by the discussion. Eckhardt will circulate a written response to the APC for review prior to the final response due to SVCAA Couture on November 15, 2005. Keown noted that this model would be great to include in the ten-year review for accreditation.

**Issues for Vice-Chancellors**
No information was presented.

**Other Business**
Keown announced that the eight institutional objectives that John Janovy presented to the APC on October 19, 2005 are now four objectives, due to suggestions from the APC.

Hallbeck moved to adjourn the meeting at 4:13 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy Brown
Interim APC Coordinator