

## Approved 5-year rotation for ACE Assessment

Approved: December 3, 2008

This proposal addressed charge to the University-Wide Assessment Committee found in ACE Governing Document 4 (p. 5):

The University-wide Assessment Committee responsibilities include:

- *developing and communicating a 5-year rotation for the assessment of the 10 Learning Outcomes so that the assessment process is regular, reasonable, and distributed over time (ACE Governing Document 4 p. 5)*

### 5-year Assessment Rotation

<i>Academic Year**</i>	<i>Assessment of Institutional Objective (IO)</i>	<i>Follow-up on Recommendations</i>
2009-10		
2010-11	IO 1	
2011-12	IO 2	IO 1
2012-13	IO 3	IO 2
2013-14	IO 4	IO 3
2014-15	5-year Program Review	IO 4
2015-16	IO 1	5-year Program Review

**\*\*Note:** This would be the reporting cycle for ACE assessment activities at the **department**, **college** and **institutional** level. This cycle begins in 2010-11 to allow initial set of courses a year to gather evidence before reporting. ACE assessment activities at the **course** level would occur every time the course is offered starting in the Fall 2009.

Institutional Objective 1 = Outcomes 1 through 3

Institutional Objective 2 = Outcomes 4 through 7

Institutional Objective 3 = Outcomes 8 and 9

Institutional Objective 4 = Outcome 10

## University-Wide Assessment Committee (UWAC)

### ACE Assessment Planning Documents

- The UWAC recommends to the UCC-ACE subcommittee that the recertification timeline be synchronized with the 5-year rotation for ACE assessment so the reporting workload for departments is minimized.
  - If a course is certified for 2 outcomes, recertification could occur in the year the second outcome is assessed.
  - Recertification would not begin until Year 3 (See ACE Governing Document 4 p. 5).
  
- For department's with large number of ACE certified courses, colleges could adjust the reporting timeline as long as the assessment report from the department is available in the year that outcome is assessed at the college and institutional level.
  - For example, if a department prefers they could submit an assessment report for outcomes under Institutional Objective 2 and Institutional Objective 3 in Year 2 of the 5-year rotation rather than wait until Year 3. However, the information reported for outcome(s) under Institutional Objective 3 would not be reviewed at the college or institutional level until Year 3 of the 5-year rotation.