The Pseudomonas syringae type III effector HopG1 targets mitochondria, alters plant development and suppresses plant innate immunity
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Summary
The bacterial plant pathogen Pseudomonas syringae uses a type III protein secretion system to inject type III effectors into plant cells. Primary targets of these effectors appear to be effectortriggered immunity (ETI) and pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI). The type III effector HopG1 is a suppressor of ETI that is broadly conserved in bacterial plant pathogens. Here we show that HopG1 from P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 also suppresses PTI. Interestingly, HopG1 localizes to plant mitochondria, suggesting that its suppression of innate immunity may be linked to a perturbation of mitochondrial function. While HopG1 possesses no obvious mitochondrial signal peptide, its N-terminal two-thirds was sufficient for mitochondrial localization. A HopG1–GFP fusion lacking HopG1’s N-terminal 13 amino acids was not localized to the mitochondria reflecting the importance of the N-terminus for targeting. Constitutive expression of HopG1 in Arabidopsis thaliana, Nicotiana tabacum (tobacco) and Lycopersicon esculentum (tomato) dramatically alters plant development resulting in dwarfism, increased branching and infertility. Constitutive expression of HopG1 in planta leads to reduced respiration rates and an increased basal level of reactive oxygen species. These findings suggest that HopG1’s target is mitochondrial and that effector/target interaction promotes disease by disrupting mitochondrial functions.

Introduction
Many Gram-negative phytopathogenic bacteria use a syringe-like apparatus called a type III secretion system (T3SS) to inject type III effector (T3E) proteins into plant cells to promote pathogenicity (Alfano and Collmer, 2004). The T3SS of the hemibiotrophic pathogen Pseudomonas syringae is encoded by the hrp and hrc genes located within the Hrp pathogenicity island and is often referred to as the Hrp T3SS (Alfano et al., 2000). P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 has become an important model pathogen as it infects both Lycopersicon esculentum (tomato) and the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana in a T3SS-dependent manner. Bioinformatic analysis of the DC3000 genome has lead to the identification of over 30 T3Es most of whose activities and plant targets remain unknown (Lindeberg et al., 2006). DC3000 mutants defective in their T3SS are non-pathogenic reflecting the requirement of the T3Es for pathogenicity. However, pathogenesis of DC3000 mutants defective in individual T3Es is in general only slightly compromised, suggesting that many T3Es are functionally redundant. More recently, it was discovered that a primary target for many P. syringae T3Es is the plant innate immune system (Espinosa and Alfano, 2004; Abramovitch et al., 2006).

The plant innate immune system is composed of at least two branches (Jones and Dangl, 2006). First, extracellular plant receptors recognize conserved molecules on microorganisms called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) sometimes referred to as microbes-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), which are present on pathogenic and non-pathogenic microbes (Nurnberger et al., 2004; Ausubel, 2005). PAMP recognition activates plant innate immune responses that are collectively referred to as PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). Several plant pathogen T3Es have been shown to suppress outputs of PTI (Alfano and Collmer, 2004; Chisholm et al., 2006). Plants likely evolved the second branch of
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the plant innate immune system, referred to as effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones and Dangl, 2006), to counter the ability of pathogen effectors to suppress PTI. ETI is based on the ability of resistance (R) proteins to recognize pathogen effectors resulting in the activation of innate immune pathways. There is overlap between the outputs of PTI and ETI (Tao et al., 2003; Zipfel et al., 2004; Tsuda et al., 2008). However, ETI is generally considered a more substantial immune response that usually includes the hypersensitive response (HR), a form of programmed cell death (PCD) elicited on microbial attack. Bacterial plant pathogen T3Es have also been shown to suppress ETI (Jamir et al., 2004; Abramovitch et al., 2003). The fact that some T3Es seem capable of suppressing both PTI and ETI suggests that these T3Es have multiple targets or that they are targeting shared components of PTI and ETI.

While the activities and targets for most plant pathogen T3Es remain unknown, there has been recent progress made for several P. syringae T3Es (Block et al., 2008; Zhou and Chai, 2008; Cunnac et al., 2009). Insight into the role that T3Es are playing in pathogenesis can be gained by elucidating their subcellular localization. For example, several P. syringae T3Es possess myristoylation sites, suggestive of membrane targeting. These include AvrRpm1 (Nimchuk et al., 2000), AvrB (Nimchuk et al., 2000), AvrPto (Shan et al., 2000), AvrPphB (Nimchuk et al., 2000), HopF2 (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2006) and HopZ1 (Lewis et al., 2008). Mutation of the myristoylation sites within these T3Es abolishes their function. The AvrBs3 T3E family from phytopathogenic species of Xanthomonas are targeted to the nucleus where they act as transcription factors (Yang and Gabriel, 1995; Van den Ackerveken et al., 1996; Yang et al., 2000).

To date, the only T3E demonstrated to localize to plant organelles is HopG1 from P. syringae, which targets and remodels chloroplasts (Jelenska et al., 2007).

We have previously demonstrated that several DC3000 T3Es, including HopG1, are suppressors of ETI responses including the HR (Jamir et al., 2004). HopG1 was originally identified in a genome-wide screen for T3Es and was confirmed to be sequestered in culture by DC3000 (Petnicki-Ocwieja et al., 2002). The expression of HopG1 in yeast or tobacco can suppress cell death triggered by the pro-apoptotic mouse protein Bax (Jamir et al., 2004), which is consistent with HopG1 acting as an ETI suppressor. Additionally, HopG1 was shown to be capable of suppressing vascular restriction in infected leaves (Oh and Collmer, 2005).

Communicated herein are additional functional analyses on HopG1 that provide insight on how it suppresses PCD and other innate immune responses. We demonstrate that hopG1 is expressed in conditions that induce type III secretion and that a HopG1 protein fusion is injected into plant cells in a type III dependent manner. We show that HopG1 is capable of suppressing PTI as well as ETI responses. Importantly, we show that HopG1 localizes to plant mitochondria, suggesting that its targets in this organelle are involved in both PTI and ETI. Surprisingly, the constitutive expression of HopG1 in planta causes altered plant morphology and infertility possibly due to disruption of normal mitochondrial function by HopG1. We found that transgenic A. thaliana plants expressing HopG1 had reduced rates of respiration and enhanced basal levels of reactive oxygen species, further implying that mitochondrial function in these plants is impaired. Taken together, these data suggest a broadly conserved pathogenic strategy where HopG1 suppresses host innate immunity by disrupting mitochondrial functions.

**Results**

**HopG1 is a conserved protein found in several clades of bacteria**

T3Es with high similarity to HopG1 are found in several T3S-containing phytobacteria (Fig. S1). The per cent protein identities as determined by pairwise BLAST of HopG1 from DC3000 with proteins that share similarity to HopG1 are as follows: P. syringae pv. phaseolicola 1448A (53%); Ralstonia solanacearum GM1000 (52%); Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri (46%); X. campestris pv. campestris ATCC 33913 (48%); Acidovorax avenae ssp. citrulli AAC00-1 (46%) and Rhizobium etli CFN 42 (46%). We identified a putative cyclophilin binding site motif (GPxL) in the C-terminal half of these proteins (Fig. S1). This motif is found in the P. syringae T3E AvrRpt2, where it was shown to be necessary for the binding of a A. thaliana cyclophilin, a prerequisite for the proper folding and activity of AvrRpt2 (Coaker et al., 2006). Bioinformatic analyses of HopG1’s peptide sequence did not reveal additional insights as to its function or cellular target(s). However, the evolutionary conservation of HopG1-like T3Es across a range of plant-associated bacteria suggests they have an important function in different types of bacterial–plant interactions.

**DC3000 hopG1 expression is enhanced in type III-inducing conditions and HopG1 is injected into plant cells**

Bioinformatic analysis of DC3000 identified a putative Hrp box upstream of hopG1. Hrp boxes are binding sites for the alternative sigma factor HrpL that regulates the expression of genes under T3SS-inducing conditions (Xiao and Hutcheson, 1994). To experimentally demonstrate if hopG1 is expressed under T3SS-inducing
conditions, we isolated DC3000 RNA from cultures grown under T3SS-inducing and non-inducing conditions and performed semi-quantitative RT-PCR. These experiments showed that hopG1 expression was increased in T3SS-inducing conditions in a manner similar to hrpL (Fig. 1A).

To determine if HopG1 is translocated into plant cells by the T3SS we used an adenylate cyclase (CyaA) fusion assay (Sory et al., 1995). This assay determines if a protein can be injected into the plant cell as CyaA is dependent on calmodulin for its activity, and produces measurable levels of cAMP only when it is inside the plant cell but not when it is in the bacterial cell or plant apoplast. The presence of cAMP was detected in tobacco leaves infiltrated with DC3000 expressing HopG1–CyaA but not with the DC3000 T3SS defective hrcC mutant expressing HopG1–CyaA (Fig. 1B). These data confirm that HopG1–CyaA is translocated into plant cells by the T3SS of DC3000. The expression pattern, secretion (Petnicki-Ocwieja et al., 2002) and translocation of HopG1 are all hallmarks of a T3E.

Constitutive expression of HopG1 in plants alters development

To investigate the function of HopG1 in planta we made transgenic A. thaliana Col-0 plants that constitutively express a C-terminal haemagglutinin (HA) epitope-tagged HopG1 (HopG1–HA) using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (Bechtold et al., 1993). Expression of the epitope-fused HopG1 product was confirmed in A. thaliana Col-0 primary transformants by immunoblotting using anti-HA antibodies (Fig. S3). The A. thaliana Col-0 primary transformants expressing HopG1–HA were severely dwarf, highly branched and infertile (Fig. 2C and E). They also had a larger number of leaves and inflorescences (Fig. 2A) as well as increased root mass (Fig. 2E) when compared with wild-type A. thaliana Col-0 (Fig. 2A, B, and D). Importantly, these phenotypes were also observed in Nicotiana tabacum cv. Xanthi (tobacco) (Fig. 2G) and Lycopersicon esculentum cv. Moneymaker (tomato) (Fig. 2I) transgenic events constitutively expressing the HopG1–HA fusion protein. These data demonstrate that HopG1 expression in planta drastically impacts growth and development in members of the Solanaceae and Brassicaceae. These physiological changes are not seen in wild-type plants infected with DC3000. They may reflect the consequences of constitutively expressed HopG1–HA interacting with its targets in cell types that otherwise would not be presented with this T3E during the course of bacterial/host interaction. Thus, it suggests that HopG1’s virulence targets may also play a role, either directly or indirectly, in plant development.

HopG1 is targeted to mitochondria
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To determine if HopG1 contributes to virulence we performed in planta growth assays with UNL124, a DC3000 hopG1 mutant (Jamir et al., 2004). We spray-inoculated A. thaliana Col-0 with wild-type DC3000 and the UNL124 strain and compared their growth. The UNL124 strain was not compromised in its virulence (Fig. S2). However, because DC3000 possesses greater than 30 T3Es HopG1’s contribution to virulence may be masked by other T3Es that are functionally redundant.
The HopG1–HA-expressing plants accumulated higher titres of the \textit{hrcC} mutant than wild-type plants. A similar assay with wild-type DC3000 showed that it grew similarly in HopG1–HA-expressing plants and in wild-type plants (Fig. 3A). Taken together, these data indicate that HopG1 can suppress PTI.

To further examine the ability of HopG1 to suppress PTI the deposition of callose (\(\beta\)-1,3 glucan), an output of PTI, was monitored (Felix et al., 1999). HopG1's impact on callose deposition was ascertained using two complementary approaches. First, the peptide Flg21 was infiltrated into wild-type \textit{A. thaliana} Col-0 plants and plants expressing HopG1–HA. Flg21 is a conserved peptide of flagellin, which induces PTI responses due to its recognition by the PAMP receptor FLS2 (Gomez-Gomez and Boller, 2000). Callose deposits were visualized by staining with the fluorescent dye aniline blue. \textit{A. thaliana} Col-0 plants expressing HopG1–HA had less foci stained with aniline blue than wild-type Col-0 plants, indicating that \textit{in planta} expression of HopG1–HA suppressed callose deposition (Fig. 3B).

The second approach determined whether bacterial-delivered HopG1–HA could suppress callose deposition. The non-pathogen \textit{P. fluorescens} (\textit{Pf}) carrying construct pLN1965 (Wei et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2009), which expresses a functional T3SS from \textit{P. syringae} pv. \textit{syringae} 61. \textit{Pf}(pLN1965) can inject an introduced T3E and allows the investigation of the biological activity of an individual T3E. Importantly, \textit{Pf}(pLN1965) also induces PTI (including callose deposition) due to the presence of PAMPs found in \textit{P. fluorescens} that are recognized by plants. An empty vector control or a construct carrying \textit{hopG1–ha} were introduced into \textit{Pf}(pLN1965). The resultant strains were infiltrated at a cell density of \(1 \times 10^6\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) into wild-type \textit{A. thaliana} Col-0 plants and callose deposition was measured. Indeed, callose deposition in plants infiltrated with \textit{Pf}(pLN1965) expressing HopG1–HA was reduced compared with those infiltrated with an empty vector control (Fig. 3B). Taken together, both experimental approaches demonstrate that HopG1 can suppress PTI. Moreover, since HopG1 can also suppress ETI, it suggests that HopG1 may target components of plant immunity common to both responses.

To determine the extent that HopG1 could suppress specific PTI-induced genes we examined the expression of \textit{PR1} and \textit{WRKY22} in wild-type \textit{A. thaliana} treated with Flg21 (Fig. 3C). This was accomplished using semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Both \textit{PR1} and \textit{WRKY22} have been shown to be induced in response to flagellin (Gomez-Gomez et al., 1999; Navarro et al., 2004). We found that Flg21-induced expression of both \textit{PR1} and \textit{WRKY22} was reduced in HopG1–HA-expressing plants. These data further confirm that HopG1 can suppress PTI.

\textbf{HopG1 is targeted to plant mitochondria}

The subcellular localization of a T3E can provide clues to its function. With this in mind we investigated the subcellular targeting of HopG1. To this end, a \textit{hopG1} gene fusion that, when expressed, would link the C-terminus of
the protein to the green fluorescence protein (GFP) was assembled and cloned into a binary vector downstream of a constitutive 3SS CaMV promoter. The resultant construct was introduced into Agrobacterium and the derived strain infiltrated into tobacco leaves. After 48 h the infiltrated leaves were viewed with confocal microscopy. HopG1–GFP was localized to small discrete points in the cytosol (Fig. 4A). The punctate fluorescence pattern was reminiscent of those produced by mitochondrially targeted GFP fusions (Forner and Binder, 2007).

To determine if HopG1–GFP was indeed localized to mitochondria we performed colocalization experiments with HopG1–GFP and an N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence from isovaleryl-CoA-dehydrogenase (IVD) fused to the red fluorescent protein eqFP611 (Forner and Binder, 2007). The nucleotide sequence corresponding to the IVD–eqFP611 was subcloned into the pZP212 binary vector. Agrobacterium strains carrying HopG1–GFP or IVD–eqFP611 constructs were mixed and infiltrated into tobacco leaves. After 48 h infiltrated leaves were viewed with confocal microscopy. Plant cells expressing both HopG1–GFP and IVD–eqFP611 produced punctate yellow spots in their cytoplasm, indicating that HopG1–GFP colocalized with IVD–eqFP611 and is therefore targeted to the mitochondria (Fig. 4A).

To confirm localization to the mitochondria intact organelles were isolated from wild-type and HopG1–HA-expressing tobacco leaves using Percoll density gradient fractionation. Levels of HopG1–HA in mitochondria fractions were compared with those in total protein extracts with immunoblots using anti-HA antibodies. Enrichment of HopG1–HA was observed in the mitochondrial fraction of HopG1–HA-expressing tobacco, indicating organellar localization (Fig. 4B). Control immunoblots using antibodies to alternative oxidase (AOX), a known mitochondrial protein, were performed to confirm that there was an enrichment of mitochondrial proteins in the mitochondria fractions when compared with total protein extracts. These subcellular fractionation experiments confirm that HopG1–HA is localized to the mitochondria.

To determine if the putative T3Es that share high similarity to HopG1 also localize to the mitochondria we repeated the confocal microscopy experiments with a HopG1 homologue from X. campestris pv. campestris ATCC 33913, HopG1
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ized to the mitochondria as supported by the observed colocalization with IVD–eqFP611 (Fig. S4). These data indicate that the putative T3Es that share similarity with HopG1 also localize to the mitochondria and suggest that this localization is vital for their function.

The mitochondrial targeting sequence is in the N-terminus of HopG1

A conserved mitochondrial targeting sequence was not identified within the 492-amino-acid-long HopG1 protein using bioinformatics tools. To define a region within HopG1 that is required for mitochondrial targeting we made truncated versions of HopG1 fused C-terminally to GFP. These proteins were transiently expressed in tobacco and subsequently imaged using confocal microscopy. The N-terminal regions spanning amino acids 1–263 or 1–380 of HopG1 fused to GFP displayed mitochondrial localization indicating that the N-terminal 263 amino acids of HopG1 are sufficient for mitochondrial targeting (Fig. 5A and B). HopG1 regions encompassing amino acids 1–160, 14–492, 100–200, 160–492 or 263–492 fused to GFP localized to the cytoplasm and nucleus in a manner similar to that of the GFP control (Fig. 5A and B). The expression and size of all fusion proteins were confirmed with protein blots using anti-GFP antibodies (data not shown). Note that the chloroplasts in some images appear yellow due to the low level of accumulation of full-length HopG1–GFP that necessitated the use of detection conditions for GFP that also detected chlorophyll autofluorescence. In addition, a site-directed mutant in the putative cyclophilin binding site (Fig. S1) of HopG1–GFP that has an alanine in position 350 of the peptide instead of a glycine (HopG1G350A–GFP) retained its ability to target the mitochondria (Fig. 5A and B). This suggests that cyclophilin binding is not required for the production of HopG1 in planta or its mitochondrial localization.

Combined these data indicate that the mitochondrial targeting signal of HopG1 is within its N-terminal 263 amino acids. Moreover, the failure of the HopG1–GFP fusion lacking the N-terminal 13 amino acids to be targeted to the mitochondria clearly shows that the N-terminus of HopG1 is important for mitochondrial localization (Fig. 5A and B). Consistent with this, an N-terminal GFP fusion to full-length HopG1 also localized to the cytoplasm and nucleus, suggesting that mitochondrial localization requires a free HopG1 N-terminus (data not shown). These data suggest that regions in the first 263 amino acids as well as a free HopG1 N-terminus are necessary for mitochondrial localization.

HopG1–GFP truncations do not alter plant development

To test the hypothesis that mitochondrial localization is important for the function of HopG1 we determined which regions of HopG1 were necessary to cause the altered plant development observed in transgenic plants constitutively expressing HopG1–HA (Fig. 2). To accomplish this, constructs corresponding to full-length HopG1–GFP, and the respective HopG1–GFP fusions described above, along with the HopG1G350A–GFP amino acid substitution derivative were stably transformed into A. thaliana Col-0 plants via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. The resulting seeds for each transgenic plant were germinated on selective medium and acclimated to soil. Expression of the HopG1–GFP derivatives was confirmed by immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antibodies (data not shown). The derived transgenic events were assessed for the...
HopG1-induced developmental phenotype. Transgenic plants constitutively expressing full-length HopG1–GFP produced the same developmental phenotype as those expressing HopG1–HA (Fig. 5A and C). All plants expressing HopG1–GFP truncations resembled wild-type A. thaliana Col-0, indicating that HopG1 derivatives were no longer capable of inducing this phenotype (Fig. 5A and C). Importantly, the HopG1–GFP truncations that did not
localize to the mitochondria did not produce the plant phenotype. On the other hand, HopG1G350A–GFP went to the mitochondrion and produced the HopG1-induced developmental phenotype. Particularly noteworthy is that the developmental phenotype is not induced by HopG114–492–GFP that lacks only the N-terminal 13 amino acids of HopG1 and does not localize to the mitochondria (Fig. 5). We also determined if the mitochondrial targeting of HopG1 was necessary for the ability of HopG1 to suppress PTI. To accomplish this, the deposition of Flg21 induced callose in transgenic A. thaliana expressing HopG1 truncations fused to GFP was examined. When compared with plants expressing GFP alone, plants expressing HopG1–GFP had 47 ± 5% less callose and plants expressing HopG1G350A–GFP had 68 ± 4% less callose. In contrast, plants expressing HopG11380–GFP or HopG1160–492–GFP displayed similar callose deposition to plants expressing GFP alone, 5 ± 7% less and 20 ± 10% more, respectively. These results suggest that both mitochondrial targeting and an activity in the C-terminus of HopG1 are required for HopG1’s activity.

*HopG1 alters respiration and ROS accumulation*

The localization of HopG1 to plant mitochondria implies that mitochondria are involved in HopG1’s ability to suppress innate immunity. HopG1 may suppress innate immunity by altering mitochondrial functions, such as the rate of respiration. Therefore, we determined if HopG1–HA altered basal respiration by measuring the rate of oxygen consumption of leaf disks of wild-type and HopG1–HA-expressing tobacco in the dark. The rate of oxygen consumption of tobacco expressing HopG1–HA was approximately half that of wild-type tobacco (Fig. 6A), suggesting that HopG1 impairs mitochondrial respiration and thus may cause mitochondrial dysfunction.

Restriction of mitochondrial respiration can lead to an increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Maxwell et al., 1999). To determine if this is the case in HopG1–HA-expressing tobacco we compared its steady-state ROS levels to those of wild-type tobacco. Relative ROS levels were determined using the ROS-sensitive fluorescent probe 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescin (H2DCFHDA). Tobacco plants expressing HopG1–HA produced approximately fourfold higher basal levels of ROS than wild-type tobacco plants (Fig. 6B). These data show that the expression of HopG1–HA does result in increased ROS production in tobacco. These enhanced ROS levels may be due to altered mitochondrial functions in HopG1–HA-expressing plants.

*Discussion*

In this study, we show that the DC3000 hopG1 gene is expressed under T3SS-inducing conditions and a HopG1–CyaA fusion is injected into plant cells (Fig. 1). This confirms an earlier report using a different translocation assay, which HopG1 is translocated (Petnicki-Ocwieja et al., 2002). A DC3000 mutant lacking HopG1 was not detectably reduced in virulence compared with wild-type DC3000 (Fig. S2). We have previously reported that HopG1 can suppress ETI (Jamir et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2009). We extended this finding here by showing that that HopG1 can also suppress PTI (Fig. 3). Thus, HopG1 is a suppressor of both the PTI and ETI branches of plant innate immunity. Given this significant activity it seems likely that the lack of a virulence phenotype for the DC3000 hopG1 mutant is due to the presence of other functionally redundant T3Es. Several *P. syringae* T3Es have now been characterized for their ability to suppress PTI or ETI. An emerging theme appears to be that many T3Es can suppress both immunity branches. Perhaps this is reasonable to expect since many T3Es have multiple activities and that ETI and PTI share many of their signaling components and response outputs (Tao et al., 2003; Navarro et al., 2004).

The HopG1-induced plant developmental phenotype observed in transgenic A. thaliana, tomato, and tobacco (Fig. 2) is intriguing and warrants further discussion. We view phenotypes caused by the transgenic expression of T3Es as potential clues to their activities because they may be caused by the enzymatic activity of the T3E or be due to the effect the T3E has on a plant target or targets. The fact that we were unable to separate the plant phe-
notype induced by HopG1 from the localization of HopG1 to mitochondria suggests that the phenotype is associated with the site of action of HopG1 and not simply due to non-specific activity. Moreover, alterations in plant development can be associated with impaired mitochondrial function. This is particularly true for defects in fertility such as cytoplasmic male sterility, but occasionally alterations in plant growth rates and architecture are also observed (Shedge et al., 2007). However, we cannot, at this point, be certain that the HopG1-induced developmental phenotype is connected to HopG1’s virulence role. One possibility that we are currently exploring is whether HopG1 modulates plant hormone levels. Many different hormones control plant growth and/or biotic stress responses. These hormones include ABA, ethylene, jasmonic acid, brassinosteroids, gibberellins, auxins and SA. They work in complex and finely balanced networks, which several T3Es and toxins have been shown to disrupt to the advantage of the pathogen (see Grant and Jones, 2009; Pieterse et al., 2009; Santner et al., 2009 for recent reviews). It is quite possible, perhaps even likely, that the modified developmental phenotype of HopG1-expressing plants is due to an effect on one or more of these networks.

To our knowledge HopG1 is the first plant pathogen T3E shown to localize to plant mitochondria. HopG1 lacks a predictable mitochondrial targeting sequence; however, our studies here showed that amino acids 1–263 of HopG1 were sufficient for localization to the mitochondria (Fig. 5). Neither amino acids 1–160, 14–492, 100–200 nor 160–492 of HopG1 were sufficient for mitochondrial localization, suggesting that a fairly large portion of the protein is required for mitochondrial targeting. This targeting could occur directly or be due to the interaction of HopG1 with a host protein that is targeted to the mitochondria. Mitochondrial targeting without a predictable targeting sequence is not uncommon as several bacterial pathogenicity factors imported into animal mitochondria do not have these targeting sequences (Kozjak-Pavlovic et al., 2008).

Our bioinformatic analyses identified a putative cyclophilin binding site in HopG1. These sites have been found in the P. syringae T3E AvrRpt2, where they are required for this effector to be processed in planta and for its cysteine protease activity (Coaker et al., 2005; 2006). The putative cyclophilin binding site present in HopG1 was not required for the HopG1-induced plant phenotype or mitochondrial localization. However, it is possible that our assays may not have detected a reduction in properly folded HopG1 as long as there was enough to detect its localization to mitochondria and to cause the associated phenotype.

To determine if HopG1 can alter mitochondrial function we measured the effect of HopG1 on respiration a common marker of altered mitochondria function. We demonstrated that the expression of HopG1–HA in tobacco leaves leads to a decrease in the rate of oxygen consumption coupled with enhanced basal levels of ROS. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that HopG1 alters mitochondrial function although they cannot rule out that the alteration of respiration is a secondary effect in the HopG1–HA-expressing plants. Additional experiments are required to determine the exact site and immediate consequences of HopG1 action.

Mitochondria are also involved in plant innate immunity signalling pathways (Maxwell et al., 2002). Thus, HopG1’s ability to suppress plant innate immunity may be due to its ability to alter mitochondrial function. One possible outcome of HopG1’s interaction with mitochondria is PCD suppression. PCD is a common response to pathogen infection for both resistant and susceptible hosts (Greenberg and Yao, 2004) and mitochondria play a major role in regulating it (Lam et al., 2001). There is a precedent for T3Es acting to alter PCD responses at the mitochondrial level. For example, bacterial pathogens of animals have been shown to prevent apoptosis by activating cell survival signals, degrading pro-apoptotic proteins, and protecting mitochondria (see Faherty and Maurelli, 2008 for a recent review). We have previously shown that HopG1 can suppress the HR and prevent Bax-induced cell death in yeast and tobacco (Jamir et al., 2004). Bax is thought to initiate PCD by interacting with mitochondria to cause the release of pro-apoptotic factors (Jurgensmeier et al., 1998). Our current data indicate that HopG1 localizes to the mitochondria and suppresses plant innate immunity. Thus, it is possible that HopG1 does so by directly protecting mitochondria from the action or release of pro-apoptotic factors.

Other plant pathogens have T3Es that have high identity to HopG1, suggesting that these are broadly important T3Es. At least one of these is also localized to the mitochondria (Fig. S4). Thus, our findings reveal a novel site of action for a plant pathogen T3E and suggest that plant pathogens target mitochondria to disable plant immunity.

Experimental procedures

RT-PCR analysis

DC3000 strains were grown in King’s B (KB) broth (King et al., 1954) and T3SS-inducing minimal media (Yuan and He, 1996) with the appropriate antibiotics at 22°C for 3 h, and the cells were harvested at log phase. Transgenic A. thaliana plants were infiltrated with 10 μM Flg21 and tissue was harvested 16 h later. Total RNA was purified using RNeasy mini Kit (QIAGEN, http://www.qiagen.com). Extensive DNase treatment of the RNA was performed with DNA-free (Ambion, http://www.ambion.com). The reverse transcription of RNA was carried out using RETROscript
(Ambion, http://www.ambion.com) using oligo (dT) primers with heat denaturation of the RNA. hopG1 was amplified using primers 5′-CACCATGCCAATAGAACGCTACATC-3′ (P2887) and 5′-GCCGTTGAAAAGACTGCTTGAAGG-3′ (P2890). hrpL was amplified using primers 5′-AGTGAATTGTGTTTCAAGAGAT TGTG-3′ (P1626) and 5′-AGTCTCGAGTGCGCCAGCGG TCAGT-3′ (P1627). PCR conditions were 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1.5 min followed by an extension of 72°C for 10 min. Actin was amplified using primers 5′-CTAAGCTCTCAAGATCAAAAGGC-3′ (P2228) and 5′-TTA ACATGGCAAAGAGTTCAAGG-3′ (P2229). P1 was amplified using primers 5′-TGAATTTTACTGCTATCTCG-3′ (P1268) and 5′-TCCAAACAATCTTGAGTGT-3′ (P1269). WRYK22 was amplified using primers 5′-CAACAAATGGGCGACGATGT GGATCTC-3′ (P1475) and 5′-TATCCCTCGGTGTTAGTGC-3′ (P1476). PCR conditions were 30 cycles of 94°C for 10 s, 52°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s followed by an extension of 72°C for 5 min.

**Adenylate cyclase (CyaA) translocation assay**

A construct encoding a HopG1 C-terminal CyaA fusion was made by the recombination of pENTR/D-TOPO::hopG1 with the Gateway vector pLN2193 (Fu et al., 2006). It was then transformed into DC3000 and hrcC strains by electroporation. The CyaA assays were performed following a previously described protocol (Schechter et al., 2004). Briefly, freshly grown bacteria from plates were suspended in 5 mM morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES), pH 5.6, at an OD600 of 0.5. Then the bacterial suspensions were infiltrated into Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. Leaf disks of 0.9 cm in diameter were harvested 7 h after infiltration and ground in liquid nitrogen and resuspended in 0.1 M HCl. A direct cyclic AMP (cAMP) correlate enzyme immunoassay kit (Assay Designs, http://www.assayedesigns.com) was used to measure cAMP concentrations in each sample following the manufacturer's instructions.

**Transgenic plant production**

Transgenic plants constitutively expressing HopG1 were made by Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation with pPZP212::hopG1–HA (pLN530) (Jamir et al., 2004) or the pK7FWG2::hopG1 constructs. A. thaliana Col-0 plants were transformed by floral dip (Bechtold et al., 1993). Tobacco and tomato transformations were carried out as described (Horsch et al., 1985; McCormick et al., 1986). Experiments were performed at least twice with similar results using at least four primary transformants for each construct. Transgene accumulation was determined by Western blotting, RT-PCR and/or confocal microscopy.

**A. thaliana pathogenicity assays**

DC3000, the DC3000 hopG1 mutant (UNL124) (Jamir et al., 2004), and the DC3000 hrcC mutant (Yuan and He, 1996) were grown overnight at 30°C on KB agar plates with the appropriate antibiotics and bacterial suspensions were made to an OD600 of 0.2 in 10 mM MgCl2 containing 0.02% (v/v) silwet (Lehle Seeds, http://www.arabidopsis.com). A. thaliana Col-0 plants grown for 4 weeks at 25°C on 10 h days were covered with a humidity dome overnight and then sprayed with a fine mist of the bacterial suspension. One-square-centimetre leaf disks were sampled from the infected tissue and ground in 10 mM MgCl2. The plating of serial dilutions of the samples on KB agar with the appropriate antibiotics allowed the number of colony forming units (cfu) of DC3000 in the leaf tissue to be determined. ANOVA was performed for all appropriate experiments.

**Callose deposition assay**

For assaying Flg21-induced deposition, wild-type A. thaliana Col-0, HopG1–HA, HopG1–GFP and HopG1–GFP truncated transgenic plants were infiltrated with 10 μM Flg21. For assaying callose deposition induced by P. fluorescens (Pf), wild-type A. thaliana Col-0 was infiltrated with 108 cells ml–1 of Pf (pLN1965) carrying pML123::hopG1–HA. Sixteen hours after infiltration leaf samples were cleared with alcoholic lactophenol, rinsed with 50% ethanol (w/v) followed by water as described (Adam and Somerville, 1996). The completely cleared leaves were stained with 0.01% aniline blue (w/v) in a solution of 150 mM K2HPO4, pH 9.5, for 30 min. The callose deposits were visualized with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging Microscope. QUANTITY ONE software (Bio-Rad, http://www.bio-rad.com) was used to enumerate callose deposits.

**HopG1 immunofluorescence assays**

HopG1 truncated constructs were made by amplifying hopG1 from DC3000 total DNA by PCR with Pfu polymerase using 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 52°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1.5 min followed by an extension of 72°C for 10 min. The following primers were used for the gene truncations and the corresponding amino acid location of the primer and its primer number are in the parenthesis: 5′-CACCATGCAAATAGAACGCTACATC-3′ (HopG11, P2887), 5′-CACCTCGAGAGTTCAAGG-3′ (HopG14, P3498), 5′-CACATGACGTTGCTAAAAGGAG-3′ (HopG1100, 3014), 5′-CACCATGGCCAGAC TAGTGACACCGGACC-3′ (HopG1492, P2890). PCR products were placed into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com) and then recombined into pK7FWG2 (Karimi et al., 2002) to create constructs that express C-terminal GFP fusion proteins. These were then transiently expressed in tobacco leaves by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (Jamir et al., 2004). Localization of GFP fusions was visualized with an Olympus FV500 with sequential laser scanning confocal microscopy, using an Olympus FV500 with sequential imaging at 488 nm excitation with sequential laser scanning confocal microscopy, using an Olympus FV500 with sequential imaging at 488 nm excitation.
Colocalization with mitochondria was performed with pIVD–eqFP611 (Forner and Binder, 2007) that was cloned in a Gateway version of pZPP212 using the primers 5′-CACCATGCAGAG GTTTTTTCGCCG-3′ (P3415) and 5′-TCAAAGAGCTCCCGAT TGGG-3′ (P3040) for Agrobacterium–mediated transformation. Laser scanning confocal microscopy with 543 nm excitation and 560–600 nm emission wavelengths was used to visualize the IVD–eqFP611 fusion protein.

Subcellular fractionation

Fifty grams of tobacco leaf tissue was shredded and gently disrupted with a mortar and pestle in 120 ml of extraction medium (EM) 20 mM Hepes-Tris, pH 7.6, 0.4 M sucrose, 5 mM EDTA, 0.6% PVP (w/v, 0.6 mM cysteine). The extract was filtered through eight layers of cheesecloth and centrifuged 5 min at 3500 g. The supernatant was centrifuged at 28 000 g for 10 min to pellet organelles. The pellet was resuspended in 120 ml EM without PVP and centrifuged at 28 000 g for 10 min and the pellet resuspended in 2 ml of suspension buffer (SB) 10 mM MOPS-KOH, pH 7.2, 0.2 M sucrose and loaded on a Percoll gradient of 10%, 32% and 50% percoll in SB. The gradient was centrifuged at 40 000 g and the mitochondria collected as a band between the 32% and 50% percoll stages. The mitochondrial fraction was washed three times in two volumes of SB by centrifugation at 16 000 g for 40 min. Protein blots were performed with anti-HA antibodies (Roche, http://www.roche.com) and anti-AOX antibodies (Elthon et al., 1999).

Oxygen consumption

One-square-centimetre leaf disks were cut from wild-type tobacco and tobacco constitutively expressing HopG1–HA. Oxygen consumption was measured polarographically using a Rank Brothers oxygen electrode (Rank Brothers, http://www.rankbrothers.co.uk) at 25°C in 1 ml of air-saturated water in the dark. Each experiment was done with three replicates.

ROS measurement

Leaf tissue from wild-type tobacco and tobacco constitutively expressing HopG1–HA was ground in liquid nitrogen and resuspended in ice-cold 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.3. Samples were centrifuged twice to remove cell debris and 0.1 ml of the supernatant was placed in duplicate on a Microfluor® white wellplate (Thermo Scientific, http://www.thermofisher.com). 2′-7′- dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA, Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com) to a final concentration of 10 μM was added to the wells and mixed. Fluorescence was measured with an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 535 nm on a CaryEclipse fluorometer. Protein content was determined by the Bradford assay and relative basal ROS levels calculated with wild-type tobacco were set to 1.
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**Supporting information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Fig. S1.** Protein alignment of HopG1 homologues. (Pto) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* str. DC3000, NP_794468; (Pph) *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* str. 1448 A, YP_273053; (Rso) *Ralstonia solanacearum* str. GM1000, CAD17474; (Xac) *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri*, ABB84189; (Xcc) *X. campestris* pv. *campestris* str. ATCC 33913, NP_638946; (Ac) *Acidovorax avennes* ssp. *citrulli* str. AAC00-1, YP_968658 and (Ret) *Rhizobium etli* str. CEN 42, YP_471706. Protein sequence data were obtained from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and aligned using Clustal W software (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2). Alignment image was created using boxshade 3.21 (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html). Conserved putative cyclophilin binding motif (GxLP) is marked with a bar above the conserved sequence.

**Fig. S2.** A DC3000 *hopG1* mutant is not significantly reduced in its ability to multiply in *Arabidopsis* compared with wild-type DC3000. Wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 were spray-inoculated with 1 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ of DC3000 or the DC3000 *hopG1* mutant (UNL124) and bacteria were enumerated at 0, 3 and 4 days after inoculation. No significant difference in bacterial growth was observed between the two strains. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results and the standard error bars are indicated.

**Fig. S3.** HopG1–HA is expressed constitutively in transgenic *A. thaliana*. Immunoblot using anti-HA antibodies of total protein extracts of leaf tissue from wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 and a representative *A. thaliana* primary transformant constitutively expressing HopG1–HA. Full-length HopG1–HA is marked by an asterisk (*) and numbers indicate protein molecular weight markers.

**Fig. S4.** A protein from *X. campestris* with high similarity to HopG1 is targeted to plant mitochondria. NP_638946 from *X. campestris* pv.*campestris* C-terminally fused to the green fluorescent protein (HopG1Xcc–GFP) and an N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence from isovaleryl-CoA-dehydrogenase fused to the red fluorescent protein eqFP611 (MT–RFP) were fused to the red fluorescent protein eqFP611 (MT–RFP) were transformation and imaged using confocal microscopy. HopG1Xcc–GFP and MT–RFP. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results.
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