

Table 4-1.

Comparison of Significant Differences
Between the 1967 and 1987
Presidential Investigations of Organized Crime

<u>Task Force Report, 1967</u>	<u>President's Commission, 1987</u>
1. Nearly exclusive focus on organized crime of Italian-Sicilian groups.	Specific recognition of organized crime of Asian, South and Central American organized crime role.
2. Only two paragraphs on narcotics in the report. Heroin was only drug mentioned by name.	Five days of hearings on cocaine and heroin, and a 500-page interim report on drugs and organized crime.
3. Only three paragraphs devoted to labor racketeering in report.	Two days of hearings and a 400-page interim report and appendix on labor racketeering
4. No specific mention of money laundering in the report.	A day of hearings and a 90-page report was issued on money laundering.
5. Gambling seen as "largest source of revenue" to organized crime.	Less attention to gambling, and narcotics found to be largest source of revenue.
6. Great emphasis on criminal penalties to reduce organized crime involvement in drugs, etc.	Recognized that civil remedies may be more effective in reducing organized criminal activities.
7. Many proposals for new laws to combat organized crime (e.g., wiretapping, immunity, etc.) which have since become law.	Recognized that existing laws need to be more effectively utilized; fewer proposals for new laws were made.

JAY ALBANESE, FEDERAL PROBATION, MARCH 1988, p. 62.